

**Table 1. Differences between Academic and Regulatory Science.**

	<b>REGULATORY SCIENCE</b>	<b>ACADEMIC SCIENCE</b>
<b>INSTITUTIONS</b>	Government/industry	Universities
<b>GOALS</b>	<p>Information needed to meet regulatory requirements and to provide reliable information for decision makers.</p> <p>Research “questions” are framed by legislators and regulators and have immediate social and economic implications.</p> <p>Ultimate goal is conflict resolution via public debate over competing interests and values.</p>	<p>Original research framed by scientists and driven by rational analysis and expert judgment.</p> <p>To expand the understanding and knowledge of the natural world through an ongoing process of questioning, hypothesizing, validation, and refutation.</p>
<b>ROLE OF UNCERTAINTY</b>	<p>Predictive <u>certainty</u> is required by the political process and the legal system.</p> <p>Knowledge is frequently and necessarily generalized to situations very different from those in which the original data was collected.</p> <p>Uncertainty is unwelcome by the public, legislators and the courts.</p>	<p>Uncertainty is expected and “embraced”</p>
<b>COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION</b>	<p>Must frequently act before all the necessary information is developed.</p>	<p>Publish when a body of information has been developed, tested, and validated.</p>
<b>STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE/ ACCEPTABLE ERROR/BURDEN OF PROOF</b>	<p>Often work with a legal mandate to minimize Type II error with the result that Type I error is increased .</p>	<p>Strive to minimize Type I error.</p>
<b>ROLE OF VALUES</b>	<p>Regulatory scientists are <u>required</u> to consider and work with the values of many including the public, politicians, the scientific community, and the regulatory community.</p>	<p>Academic scientists work primarily with their own and their collaborators’ values; seldom have to incorporate public or political values .</p>
<b>PRODUCTS</b>	<p>“Gray literature,” baseline data, monitoring data, regulatory documents.</p>	<p>Published, peer-reviewed papers and books, presentations at professional meetings.</p>
<b>TIME-FRAME</b>	<p>Determined and driven by statute, regulation, and the political process; finite and often quite short (90 days to 2-4 years).</p> <p>Resolution of problems being reacted to is often crisis-driven or driven by court-mandated timelines.</p>	<p>Open-ended; usually carried out relatively free of an urgent need for the information generated.</p>
<b>POLITICAL INFLUENCE</b>	<p>Directly influenced by politics – upper-level administrators are appointed by the President; funding is at the will of Congress; ultimate oversight is by the courts.</p>	<p>Indirectly influenced by the researcher’s own political philosophy and by their perception of the preferences of grant and tenure review committees.</p>
<b>ACCOUNTABILITY</b>	<p>Legislatures, courts, and the public.</p>	<p>Professional peers.</p>
<b>INCENTIVES</b>	<p>Compliance with legal requirements, working for the public good.</p>	<p>Professional recognition, advancement in tenure system; university administration.</p>